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ABSTRACT

The prosobranch mollusc family Hydrobiidae Troschel, 1857 has a cosmopolitan distribution and is made up of small to minute species that inhabit permanent aquatic ecosystems. It is one of the largest families within the superfamily Rissooidea. The evolutionary relationships between members of this family are still unclear due to: 1) insufficient available information regarding the morphological characters of many of the described taxa, 2) uncertainty concerning which morphological characters are of greatest taxonomic and phylogenetic interest, and 3) the rare use of molecular characters to study evolutionary relationships. In this work, we examine the reasons for the confusing systematics of hydrobiids. We include a diagnosis of the 13 genera and a list of the 43 species described and accepted to date for the Ibero-balearic region, along with data regarding their geographic distribution and a compilation of the most relevant bibliographical references. The Iberian Peninsula is one of the areas of greatest hydrobiid diversity in Europe, and has a large number of endemic genera and species in addition to those that have a typically circummediterranean distribution. Numerous hydrobiid species and populations are threatened, and in some cases, in danger of extinction due to the fragile nature of many of the ecosystems they inhabit.
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Introduction

The family Hydrobiidae Troschel, 1857 (Class Gastropoda, Subclass Orthogastropoda, Superorder Caenogastropoda, Order Architaenioglossa, Superfamily Rissooidea) has a cosmopolitan distribution and comprises a numerous group of prosobranch gastropods. These molluscs are small to minute (generally less than 8 mm in height with many species’ groups measuring less than 1 mm) and have a markedly simple anatomical structure. Hydrobiidae s.s. originated in Laurasia, and according to the fossil record, dates back to around 280 MYA, a transition period between the Carboniferous and the Permian (Knight et al., 1960). This is one of the most numerous and taxonomically diverse mollusc families. According to the latest estimates, Hydrobiidae s.s. is made up of nearly 400 recent and fossil genera (Kabat & Hersler, 1993), and has more than 1,000 described living species (Boss, 1971).

With the exception of species of the type genus Hydrobia, which are marine or from brackish waters, practically all the rest live in freshwater environments. Others are stygobionts while a few are associated with brackish environments. At least one species, Fonscochlea zeidleri (Ponder et al., 1989), is amphibious and another is apparently terrestrial Falniowska neglectissima (Falnowski & Steffeck, 1989). Some of the larger-sized species live in rivers (upper- or mid- river) and in lagoons. Due to their biomass and their role as primary consumers in the trophic chain, they are a major component of inland waters.

The higher systematics of the Hydrobiidae is confusing since the validity, taxonomic category, and phylogenetic relationships of the supra-specific taxa are still virtually unknown. In addition, the monophyly of the family is uncertain and the distinction between this family and other rissooidean families, such as Pomatiopsidae, is unclear (Davis et al., 1985). Problems defining the phylogenetic relationships at the superfamilial level are fundamentally due to the scarce fossil record and the difficulties in studying this record. Problems also arise from the enormous diversity of hydrobiids, their broad geographic distribution, and the difficulties in identifying characters (and their states) that are of phylogenetic interest. In general, hydrobiids have unsculpted shells that offer few diagnostic characters. Furthermore, there is great intraspecific variability in most of the characters commonly used, which are also, in large part, homoplastic. Using anatomical characters is complicated by the molluscs’ small size. Unlike larger prosobranchs, hydrobiid morphology is much simpler due to its miniaturization. Notwithstanding, it is generally accepted that the ancestors of Hydrobiidae s.s. were marine, a genus similar to extant species of Hydrobia, which had eurihaline capabilities and a broad geographic distribution (Hutchinson, 1993).

The aim of this paper is to review the systematics of the Hydrobiidae family, and to examine the distribution and conservation status of the described Ibero-balearic taxa both in this region and throughout the rest of Europe.

Systematic position of Hydrobiidae

Throughout its history, the Hydrobiidae family has been included in various superfamilies: Rissooidea (Thiele, 1929; Wenz, 1939; Taylor & Sohl, 1962; Taylor, 1966; Davis, 1982; Bernasconi, 1992; Kabat & Hershler, 1993; Wilke et al., 2001), Hydrobioidea (Radoman, 1973, 1983) and Truncateelloidea (Golikov & Starobogatov, 1975; Ponder, 1988). It is currently ascribed to the first of these superfamilies, Rissooidea.

In the 19th century, Troschel (1857) defined Hydrobiidae as a group with “uncertain rank” belonging to Taenioglossata: Ctenobranchiata. Based on conchological, opercular, radular, pedal and penial features, other authors (Stimpson, 1865, Tryon, 1866,) have assigned the extant hydrobiids, together with other families, to a broad group of rissooidean snails. Beginning in the 20th century, (Thiele, 1929; Wenz, 1939), there tends to be greater hierarchical structuring and more taxonomical categories are added. Thiele’s classification is based primarily on conchological and radular characters; it includes 14 subfamilies within Hydrobiidae—seven of which are currently assigned to other groups of Rissooidea. This classification is later “broken up” (Morrison, 1949) and divided into 16 subfamilies (Morrison, 1971), based on the number of penial ducts, a character that rarely varies. Taylor (1966), studying a group of Mexican taxa, introduced new characters to the phylogenetic analysis (cephalic tentacles, corporal pigmentation, and reproduction), which were later questioned (Thompson, 1968).

After 1969, the systematic relationships of hydrobiids were studied more rigorously (Radoman, 1969). Grouping taxa at the supergenus level on the basis of conchological criteria was challenged. According to Radoman (1969), the phylogenetic analysis of this family should be more appropriately based on genital characters, primarily on the female genital system. Starobogatov (1970), followed by
other authors (Golikov & Starobogatov, 1975; Bernasconi, 1992), extensively used these criteria to review the Hydrobiidae, and to define genera within each family group. Hydrobiids are subsequently elevated to the superfAMILY level —Hydrobioidea— (Nordsieck, 1972; Radoman, 1973, 1983; Giusti & Pezzoli, 1982; Starobogatov & Sitnikova, 1983) until Ponder and Waren (1988), re-assigned them to the Rissooidea —the classification they maintain to this day. Other authors combined some of the families within Hydrobiidae (Bodon & Giusti, 1991, Kabat & Hershler, 1993). Cladistic analyses performed with morphological characters generate inconsistent phylogenies (Faliowski & Szarowska, 2000; Bodon et al., 2001) due to convergence and the scarcity of synapomorphies. Thanks to molecular phylogenies, the independent evolution of many of the usual morphological characters in classic hydrobiid systematics has recently been confirmed (Davis et al., 1998; Wilke & Davis, 2000; Wilke et al., 2001).

Hershler and Ponder (1998) have written the most comprehensive, critical review of the morphological characters (and their states) that are of greatest phylogenetic interest. In these studies, they frequently continue to use terms such as “hydrobioid” that alludes to a standard morphotype of hydrobiid that shares a series of cephalic, pedal, opercular, genital, and pigmented characters (Davis, 1979). Despite important advances made in the systematics of the group and the standardization of characters, the morphology (beyond shell and perhaps a few anatomical characters) of many nominal taxa is still unknown. In addition, there are extensive geographical regions that have yet to be explored. Therefore, the description and selection of characters, and character states of evolutionary interest should be considered preliminary at best, and any phylogenetic hypothesis for the group is merely tentative.

Ibero-balearic hydrobiids

Spain is one of the European countries with the largest number of known Hydrobiidae taxa. Nevertheless, there are comparatively few published works on the Ibero-balearic region. Besides Azpeitia’s (1935) monograph on the genera Paludina and Amnicola, other works on a local scale worth mentioning are the conchological studies of Rosenhauer (1856), Fagot (1887, 1905, 1907), Bofill (1909), Bofill & Haas (1920), Haas (1924, 1925, 1927), Gasull (1965, 1971, 1981), Altimira (1960), Schütt (1961), Boeters (1969) and Bech (1990). In 1988, Boeters published a revision of the Hydrobiidae and Moitessieridae families on the Iberian Peninsula, dividing them into four subfamilies: Horatiiinae, Hydrobiinae, Amnicolinae and Potamopyrginae. Other papers (Boeters & Rollán, 1988; Rollán, 1991; Ramos et al., 2000; Arconada & Ramos, 2001, 2002) published in the last decades about hydrobiids on the Iberian Peninsula described many new genera and species (e.g., Chondrobasis, Boetersiella, Spathogyna and Tarraconia). The most important changes have been noted among valvatiform hydrobiids (Arconada, 2000): where Boeters (1988) only recognised two genera (Horatia and Neohoratia) and nine species, there are currently nine genera and 19 species, some of which are still unpublished. In addition, it is doubtful that genus Neohoratia is represented in the Ibero-balearic fauna (Arconada & Ramos, submitted).

According to classical classifications, 13 hydrobiid genera and a total of 43 species are recognized for the Iberian Peninsula and Balearic Islands. Following the most recent phylogenetic hypothesis (Wilke et al., 2001), the present review excludes those genera that have been placed into other families: Moitessieria and Bythispeum (Moitessieridae), Bithynia (Bithyniidae), Semisalsa (Cochliidiidae) and Bythinella (Amnicolidae). The genus Potamopyrgus Stimpson, 1865 is also not discussed, since the only widely distributed species of this genus on the Iberian Peninsula is an invasive hydrobiid from New Zealand, Potamopyrgus antipodarum (Gray, 1843). Not yet described valvatiform hydrobiids have also been excluded from this work (Arconada, 2000). Besides a diagnosis of each genus (arranged in alphabetic order), data are also provided on their geographic distribution within the Ibero-balearic region, and if applicable, within the world. The most relevant bibliographic references are also included.

Alzoniella Giusti & Bodon, 1984

**Type species:** Alzoniella finalina Giusti & Bodon, 1984.

**Type locality:** Spring in Ponci river, Liguria, Italy.

**Diagnosis:** Shell ovate-conic; body generally unpigmented, lacking ocular spots; ctenidium with 5-11 lamellae; radula has a central tooth with 1-2 basal cusps on each side; female genitalia has a saciform bursa copulatrix with a medium-size duct and two seminal receptacles; proximal seminal receptacle smaller than distal receptacle; penis with 1-2 glandular lobes, one of them is located in the base of the penis and the other one in a medial position on its inner edge.
**Species and Ibero-Balearic Distribution:**

*Alzoniella pyrenaica* (Boeters, 1983) in Navarra and Basque Country.

**World Distribution:** Czech Republic, Slovakia, Italy, Austria, France and Spain.


**Belgrandia Bourguignat, 1869**

**Type Species:** *Cyclostoma gibbum* Draparnaud, 1805

**Type Locality:** France, no specific locality

**Diagnosis:** Shell ovate-conic; ctenidium with 8-11 lamellae; radula has a central tooth with one basal cusp on each side; female genitalia has a sac-ciform and pedunculated bursa copulatrix and two seminal receptacles (proximal and distal) varying in size and shape; male genitalia has a penis with a distal end tapered and one or two lobes located in a medial position arising at the same time at inner and outer side of the penis; penis generally pigmented near the apex.

**Species and Ibero-Balearic Distribution:**

*Belgrandia cf. marginata* (Michaud, 1831), in Valencia, Cuenca, Alicante, Castellón, Tarragona and Barcelona; *B. lusitanica* (Paladilhe, 1867), in Beira Litoral; *B. heussi* (Boettger, 1963), in Ribatejo.

**World Distribution:** Croatia, Germany, France, United Kingdom, Portugal, Spain and Morocco.


**Belgrandiella Wagner, 1927**

**Type Species:** *Belgrandia kusceri* Wagner, 1914

**Type Locality:** Rakek, Slovenia.

**Diagnosis:** Minute shell ovate-conic; ctenidium with 3-10 lamellae; radula has a central tooth with 1-2 basal cusps on each side; female genitalia has a bursa copulatrix with a long duct and a proximal and pedunculated seminal receptacle; penis with a non-glandular lobe located in a medial position on its inner edge.

**Species and Ibero-Balearic Distribution:**


**World Distribution:** Slovenia, Bosnia, Czech Republic, Austria, France, Italy and Spain (Peninsula and Balearic Islands).


**Boetseriella Arconada & Ramos, 2001**

**Type Species:** *Paludina sturmi* Rosenhauer, 1856.

**Type Locality:** Sierra Harana, Granada, Spain.

**Diagnosis:** Minute shell (1-1.5 mm) depressed trochiform or valvatiform; frontal and oval aperture with a thick columellar border that leaves a slit-like umbilicus; thin outer lip; peristome slightly oblique and adapically sinuate; ctenidium and gastric caecum totally absent; radula has a central tooth with a basal cusp on each side; female genitalia has a pedunculated bursa copulatrix and a sessile proximal seminal receptacle that leans over the bursa copulatrix; penis simple, unpigmented and cylindrical in transverse section; the base of the penis is widened in relation to its distal part and gradually tapered towards the tip.

**Species and Ibero-Balearic Distribution:**

*Boetseriella sturmi* in Jaén and Granada and *B. davisi* Arconada & Ramos, 2001, in Granada.

**World Distribution:** Spain.

**Bibliography:** Rosenhauer, 1856; Boeters, 1983, 1988 (in part, see Arconada & Ramos, 2001); Arconada & Ramos, 2001.

**Chondrobasis Arconada & Ramos, 2001**

**Type Species:** *Chondrobasis levantina* Arconada & Ramos, 2001.

**Type Locality:** San Miguel spring, Viver, Castellón, Spain.

**Diagnosis:** Minute shell (1-1.5 mm) trochiform or valvatiform; frontal and oval aperture with a slightly thickened columellar border that leaves a very narrow umbilicus; thin outer lip; the peristome is slightly oblique and adapically sinuate; ctenidium and gastric caecum totally absent; radula has
a central tooth with one basal cusp on each side; female genitalia with a bursa copulatrix with no visible duct and a proximal sessile and pyriform seminal receptacle that leans tightly over the renal oviduct; penis unpigmented, cylindrical in transverse section, with a small, non-glandular papilla located in the concave side of the penis base; the slender distal part of the penis is markedly different in shape from the wider basal part.

**SPECIES AND IBERO-BALEAR DISTRIBUTION:** 
*C. levantina*, located in Castellón, Valencia, Alicante, Teruel and Cuenca provinces.

**WORLD DISTRIBUTION:** Spain.

**BIBLIOGRAPHY:** Boeters, 1981, 1988 (in part, as Hauffenia (Neohoratia) sturni see Arconada & Ramos, 2001); Arconada & Ramos, 2001.

**Horatia Bourguignat, 1887**

**TYPE SPECIES:** *Horatia klecakiana* Bourguignat, 1887

**TYPE LOCALITY:** Spring near Ribaric, Cetina valley, Croatia.

**DIAGNOSIS:** Minute shell (1-1.5 mm); oval or rounded aperture; outer peristome simple, thin and straight; radula has a central tooth with one basal cusp on each side; gastric caecum absent; female genitalia with a pedunculated bursa copulatrix and two seminal receptacles; proximal receptacle bents and leans over the renal oviduct; penis, cylindrical in a transverse section, with a non-glandular penial lobe located in its middle inner edge.

**SPECIES AND IBERO-BALEAR DISTRIBUTION:** 
*Horatia gatoa* Boeters, 1980, in Málaga. Two new species not yet described.

**WORLD DISTRIBUTION:** Croatia, Bosnia, Macedonia, Albania, Greece, Spain and Algeria.

**BIBLIOGRAPHY:** Pollonera, 1898; Thielle, 1929; Radoman, 1969, 1983; Boeters, 1980, Gittenberger, 1982; Bole & Velkovrh, 1986; Boeters, 1988; Ghamizi et al., 1997; Arconada, 2000; Bodon et al., 2001.

**Hydrobia Hartmann, 1821**

**TYPE SPECIES:** *Cyclostoma acutum* Draparnaud, 1805.

**TYPE LOCALITY:** No specific locality is mentioned in the original description. According to Radoman (1977) the type locality is Étang du Prévost, Palavas, Mediterranean coast, in France.

**DIAGNOSIS:** shell conic; aperture ovoid, slightly rounded at the top; suture relatively shallow; umbilicus slit-like; radula has a central tooth with 1 or 2 basal cusps on each side; gastric caecum present; renal oviduct black pigmented; female genitalia has a well developed bursa copulatrix with a long duct and a distal seminal receptacle; penis flattened, pointed or with an enlarged, nearly round top angled to the left side. An outgrowth, more or less evident, on the left side of the penis.

**SPECIES AND IBERO-BALEAR DISTRIBUTION:** 
*Hydrobia (Hydrobia) acuta* (Draparnaud, 1805), in Mallorca; *H. (H.) minoricensis* (Paladilhe, 1875) [= *H. glyca* (Servain, 1880) according to Boeters, 1988], in Cádiz, Mallorca and Menorca; *H. (H.) atuca* Boeters, 1988, in Mallorca; *H. (H.) joossei* Aartsen, Menkhorst & Gittenberger, 1984, in south part of Portugal, Cádiz and Cantabria. *Hydrobia (Peringia) ulvae* (Pennant, 1777), in Cádiz, Pontevedra, Cantabria and south part of Portugal. In this paper we follow Haase’s (1993) classification and consider *Peringia* Paladilhe, 1874 as a subgenus of *Hydrobia*.

**WORLD DISTRIBUTION:** In marine and brackish waters from North America, Europe, Northern Africa, Occidental Asia. Also cited in the Baltic sea, North sea, Black sea, Atlantic and Mediterranean.


**Islamia Radoman, 1973**

**TYPE SPECIES:** *Horatia servaini* Bourguignat, 1887

**TYPE LOCALITY:** Near Sarajevo, Bosnia

**DIAGNOSIS** (according to Bodon et al., 2001): Minute shell (0.5-1 mm) ovoid to planispiral; radula has a central tooth with 1-2 basal cusps on each side; gastric caecum absent; female genitalia with two seminal receptacles: proximal receptacle larger than distal receptacle; bursa copulatrix absent; penis large, with a penial lobe on the inner edge of the penis, at half or near its distal end.

**SPECIES AND IBERO-BALEAR DISTRIBUTION:** 
*I. atenii* (Boeters, 1969), in Lérida; *I. schuellie* Boeters, 1981, in Granada, Málaga, Almeria and Cádiz; *I. globulus lagari* (Altimira, 1960), in Barcelona; *I. globulus globulus* (Bofill, 1909), in Lérida, Gerona and Huesca. Two species have been erroneously ascribed to this genus (Bodon et al., 2001). “I”. *azarum* (Boeters & Rolán, 1988), in Asturias and “I”. *coronadoi* (Bourguignat, 1870), in Madrid. Another three new species are...

**WORLD DISTRIBUTION:** Turkey, Israel, Balkanic Peninsula, Greece, Italy, France and Spain.


**Mercuria Boeters, 1971**

**TYPE SPECIES:** *Amnicola confusa* Frauenfeld, 1863.

**TYPE LOCALITY:** South of France, no specific locality.

**DIAGNOSIS:** Shell ovate-conic; ctenidium with 23-24 lamellae; radula has a central tooth with 1-2 basal cusps on each side; female genitalia has a sac-cicorn and pedunculated bursa copulatrix and a proximal and sessile seminal receptacle; penis cylindrical, with a penial lobe located on the inner edge of the penis near its distal end, which is black pigmented.

**SPECIES AND IBERO-BALEARIC DISTRIBUTION:** *Mercuria tachoensis* (Frauenfeld, 1865), in northern and central part of Portugal; *M. edmundi* Boeters, 1986 in southern and central part of Portugal; *M. balearica* (Paladilhe, 1869), in Menorca and Granada and *M. emiliana* (Paladilhe, 1869), in Mallorca and Granada.

**WORLD DISTRIBUTION:** Morocco, Algeria, France, United Kingdom, Ireland, The Netherlands, Italy, Portugal and Spain (Peninsula and Balearic Islands).


**Paladilhiopsis Pavlovic, 1913**

**TYPE SPECIES:** *Paladilhia robiciana* Clessin, 1882.

**TYPE LOCALITY:** Spring in Potoce, North of Kranj, Slovenia.

**DIAGNOSIS:** Shell conic, small (3-4 mm); oval and oblique aperture, with a sinuate outer lip; body completely unpigmented without ocular spots; radula has a central tooth with one basal cusp on each side; nervous system with long supraoesophageal and suboesophageal connectives; female genitalia has a big bursa copulatrix with a long and posteroverentral duct; distal seminal receptacle small and with a long duct; penis simple, flattened, with a tapered distal end.

**SPECIES AND IBERO-BALEARIC DISTRIBUTION:** "*Paladilhiopsis" septentrionalis* Rolán & Ramos, 1995, in Cantabria, Asturias, Basque Country and Burgos.

**WORLD DISTRIBUTION:** Slovenia, Serbia-Montenegro and Spain.

**BIBLIOGRAPHY:** Clessin, 1882; Radoman, 1983; Rolán & Ramos, 1995; Rolán & Arconada, 2003.

**Pseudamnicola Paulucci, 1878**

**TYPE SPECIES:** *Bithynia lucensis* Issel, 1866.

**TYPE LOCALITY:** Bagni di Lucca, Tuscany, Italy.

**DIAGNOSIS:** Shell ovate; ctenidium with 18-23 lamellae; radula has a central tooth with one basal cusp on each side; renal oviduct black pigmented; small gastric caecum located in the posterior chamber of the stomach; female genitalia has a well developed bursa copulatrix and a small and proximal seminal receptacle; penis big, simple, widened in its base and generally pigmented.

**SPECIES AND IBERO-BALEARIC DISTRIBUTION:** *Pseudamnicola (Corrosella) navasiana* (Fagot 1907), in Zaragoza; *P. (C.) falkneri* Boeters 1970, in Granada, Guadalajara and Castellón; *P. (C.) luisi* Boeters 1984, in Granada, Almeria and Jaén; *P. (C.) hinzi* Boeters 1986, in Burgos and Zaragoza; *P. (C.) hydrobiopsis* Boeters, 1999, in Granada; *P. (Pseudamnicola) gasulli* Boeters 1981, in Ibiza, Murcia and Almeria, and *P. (P.) spirata* (Paladilhe, 1869), in Mallorca, Menorca and Gerona.

**WORLD DISTRIBUTION:** Morocco, Algeria, Balkanic Peninsula, Romania, Turkey, Belgium, The Netherlands, Germany, United Kingdom, France, Italy, Portugal and Spain (Peninsula and Balearic Islands).


**Spathogyna Arconada & Ramos, 2002**

**TYPE SPECIES:** *Valvata (Tropidina) fezi* Altimira, 1960

**TYPE LOCALITY:** Fuente Roble, Yémeda, Cuenca, Spain. This locality has been proposed for inclusion into a Regional Plan for Microhabitats Conservation and Management in Castilla-La Mancha Region.

**DIAGNOSIS:** Shell minute (<1 mm), valvatiform, wide umbilicus; ctenidium with 10 lamellae, approximately; radula has a central tooth with one basal cusp on each side; gastric caecum absent; female genitalia has a small bursa copula-
trix with a long duct and two seminal receptacles; penis unpigmented with a non glandular lobe located in a medial position.

**Species and Ibero-Balearic Distribution:**

**World Distribution:** Spain.

**Conservation:** *Spothogyna fezi* is included in the Castilla-La Mancha Regional List as being of “Special Interest” and has also recently been proposed for inclusion in the National List of Endangered Species, as “critically endangered”.

**Bibliography:** Altimira, 1960; Binder, 1966; Boeters, 1988; Bodon et al., 2001; Arconada & Ramos, 2002.

**Tarraconia Ramos & Arconada, 2000**

**Type Species:** *Hauffenia (Neohoratia) gasulli* Boeters, 1981.

**Type Locality:** El Bañador, Altura, Castellón, Spain.

**Diagnosis:** Minute, valvatiform and depressed shell (1.5 mm); aperture rounded and straight, with a characteristic and marked varix behind the outer lip; ctenidium with 11-18 lamellae; radula has a central tooth with one basal cusp on each side; suboesophageal connective absent; gastric caecum absent; female genitalia with a large and pedunculated bursa copulatrix; seminal receptacles absent (their function is undertaken by a thickened portion of the renal oviduct); penis with a medium-sized, non-glandular and roundish lobe located in its middle inner edge.

**Species and Ibero-Balearic Distribution:** *Tarraconia gasulli* in Castellón and Valencia; *T. rolanii* Ramos, Arconada & Moreno, 2000, in Tarragona.

**World Distribution:** Spain.

**Bibliography:** Boeters, 1988; Ramos et al., 2000.

**Distribution Patterns and Ecology of Hydrobiidae in Europe**

Some spectacular speciation phenomena of hydrobiids have been observed in very diverse geographic areas [e.g., in Coahuila, México (Hersler, 1985), the Patagonia (Pilsbry, 1911) and Southwest Australia (Ponder et al., 1993)]. The majority of European hydrobiids have a circummediterranean distribution that encompasses three regions: The Balkanic, Italian and Iberian Peninsulas. These regions could be considered “evolutionary centres” (Davis 1982), especially for stygophiles species having valvatiform morphology (Radoman, 1983; Bole & Velkrovč, 1986; Bodon et al., 2001). In other words, these are areas with a concentration of highly diverse faunas that consist of at least one or more monophyletic groups. In Europe, Hydrobiid diversity was first studied on the Balkanic Peninsula. Around 190 species alone were described in Lake Ohrid, in the border region between Macedonia and Albania (Radoman, 1983). This circummediterranean distribution is probably the result of successive dispersal, vicariance, speciation and extinction processes that took place during the Eocene-Miocene. Differences between European and North American faunas suggest that the radiation occurred subsequent to the Eocene, since during this period a palaeogeographic connection existed between these territories that still permitted migratory flow between both continents. This continental link with America was lost during “The Terminal Eocene Event” (Eocene-Oligocene transition), at the same time that new land connections between Europe and Asia were being formed. The distribution of contemporary faunas is a result of both this event and of the climatic and physiographic changes that have occurred in Europe since the Lower Oligocene (Barbadillo et al., 1997).

Essentially, the present-day distribution of Hydrobiidae can be explained by three main palaeographic events: 1) dispersal movements originating in Eurasia—especially those via Asia Minor—that are evinced by the distribution of some contemporary genera, such as *Horatia* and *Islamia*; 2) immigrations emanating from North Africa that could explain the current distribution of genera like *Belgrandia* and *Pseudammicola*, and; 3) the effects of recent, alternating glacial and interglacial periods. The first event occurred at the upper Miocene during which time there was an exchange of Eurasian faunas across a stable continental corridor (Steininger & Rögl, 1984). These dispersal movements could have extended across Turkish, Balkanic and Italian territory into the Iberian Peninsula given that, at that time, the Pyrenees were relatively low and did not apparently constituted a major geographic barrier (Barbadillo et al., 1997). The second dispersal event, which played an important role in the evolutionary history of the fauna of the Iberian Peninsula, took place approximately 6MYA (Jong, 1998) across Northern Africa with the formation of the massive Betic-Rifean (Busack, 1986). The subsequent opening and flooding of the Strait of Gibraltar is responsible for the vicariance and taxonomical differentiation phenomena that are observed in many of the faunistic groups on either
side of the Strait (Ghamizi et al., 1997). Applying a molecular clock to the phylogenetic analysis of Iberian valvatiform hydrobiids reveals that the beginning of their diversification coincides with the opening of the Strait (Arconada, 2000). The third palaeographic event dates to more recent times and occurred at the same time as alternating glacial and interglacial periods (700,000 years – present day). The strong climatic oscillations caused by these glacial processes limited the survival possibilities of many species (Hewitt, 1996). During these glacial periods, Southern Mediterranean Europe resisted the advancing ice, and many refuges were created that helped some species survive. These regions that correspond with the three described evolutionary centres, sheltered some of the more northern populations that would have otherwise been extinguished.

The high hydrobiid diversity on the three peninsulas as well as the pronounced circummediterranean distribution of a majority of the studied taxa could be explained by the combination of: 1) faunistic exchanges via the previously mentioned dispersal routes, 2) the refuge effect caused by glacial processes in Southern Mediterranean Europe, and 3) the difficulties inherent in hydrobiid dispersal. There are numerous descriptions of endemisms and a general lack of taxonomic nexus among these peninsulas. To test if the observed phylogenetic discontinuity is a real phenomenon or simply the result of incomplete sampling, greater effort needs to be made to locate and study new hydrobiid populations.

In general, the natural habitats of the species of this family are cool inland bodies of water that are well oxygenated and have a constant, moderate flow throughout the year. These include streams, ponds, springs, pools, and subterranean waters. Stygobiont species make up almost all the molluscs fauna associated with subterranean continental waters (Bole & Velkovrh, 1986). Hydrobiids are indicator species of water quality and contamination levels, and have also been used as “witnesses” to reveal palaeographic relationships between different hydrological basins (Radoman, 1983). In certain geographic areas, this family has experienced some notable speciation phenomena related to population fragmentation and habitat isolation (in some cases within the same hydric system). Of all the dispersal mechanisms previously mentioned for hydrobiids that inhabit springs, etc., the most common is via accidental introduction by vegetative or animal vectors, such as mammals, birds and insects (Davis, 1982; Ponder, 1982). It is suspected that some distribution patterns of freshwater molluscs coincide with migratory bird routes (Russell-Hunter, 1979).

Subterranean aquatic systems may also be effective dispersal conduits, as in the case of the genus Bythioseum, whose eggs are dragged underground to other hydric systems or dispersed by subterranean aquatic insects (Boeters, 1998). Other more unusual dispersal pathways include floods and wind-assisted aerial dispersal (Ponder et al., 1989).

Ibero-balearic hydrobiid species, and hydrobiids in general, exhibit similar reproductive strategies. They have separate sexes and they cross-fertilize. Females store the sperm in seminal receptacles for an indefinite period of time; in some documented cases up to one year. The female lays a varying number of ovigerous capsules (many in the case of Hydrobia and Pseudamnicola and very few in the majority of valvatiform species). Development of these capsules is direct and lecitotrophic, that is, they contain an embryo that is nourished by its own yolk and other intracapsular nutrients. Upon hatching, the egg releases a bentonic juvenile in an advanced stage of development. Even though few offspring are produced in this type of reproductive strategy, their probability of survival is increased because the planktotrophic phase (a phase of elevated mortality) is eliminated. Otherwise, the dispersal capacity of species with bentonic juveniles is significantly reduced in comparison to those with planktonic larvae. Ovigerous capsules can remain attached to vegetation or even to the shells of their progenitors. As an exception, Hydrobia ulvae has a different reproductive strategy. It produces small and numerous eggs than other Hydrobia species, and releases free living veligers (Fretter & Graham, 1994). Only one species, Potamopyrgus antipodarum originally from New Zealand, exhibits parthenogenetic reproduction. In principle, this means that it would take only specimens to establish a new colony. This reproductive strategy explains how this invasive species has conquered a great number of freshwater environments on practically all the continents of the world.

Hydrobiidae distribution and ecology in the Ibero-balearic region

The high endemicity of Iberian Hydrobiidae is very likely related to former processes of geographic isolation and hydrobiids limited dispersal capacity. On some occasions, sympatric populations of congeneric taxa have been found. But in none of these instances have hybridisation, flow phenomena, or genetic introgression been observed.

Some genera show signs of a continuous distribution. For example, Belgrandiella occupies an
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extensive area in the North-Northwest region of the Peninsula. Only two species, *B. andalucensis* and *B. Edmundi*, have been reported outside this region (in Jaén and Mallorca, respectively). The same can be said of the genera *Chondrobasis* and *Tarragona*. Nevertheless, many other genera have a disjunctive distribution and occupy extensive territories that are geographically separated from each other. Species of the genus *Belgrandia*, for example, are found along both the eastern and western areas of the Peninsula. Other examples are *Islamia*, *Mercuria* and *Pseudamnicola*. *Islamia* has a broad distribution throughout the Northern Mediterranean Basin. Groups of species from this genus have been described which inhabit geographically separated areas and show some anatomical differences. This, probably suggests the existence of distinct branches in the radiation of *Islamia* (Bodon et al., 2001). *Mercuria* species are scattered over a broad area that includes the West Mediterranean Basin and West
continental Europe. According to published records, species of *Pseudamicola* are scattered throughout the western half of the Peninsula and in the Balearic Islands. However, our own data, yet unpublished, suggest that the distribution of this genus is more continuous. While we consider our sampling to have been relatively satisfactory, a more detailed study of numerous populations is pending. Some of the above-mentioned species that display an “aberrant” distribution may need to be assigned to new genera following a meticulous study of their morphology.

In summary, Iberian hydrobiids are distributed throughout the Iberian Peninsula and Balearic Islands but there are areas, such as the Mediterranean region, which are particularly rich in valviform hydrobiids (Fig. 1). The north-northern part of the Peninsula is also noteworthy for the speciation phenomena that occurred in the genus *Belgrandiella*. Although some of the Iberian genera described to date are endemic (e.g., *Boetersiella*, *Chondrobasis*, *Spathogyna* and *Tarracenia*), the rest are distributed throughout the circummediterranean region (e.g., *Islamia*). Some, like *Pseudamicola*, *Mercuria* and *Belgrandia*, also extend into Northern Europe.

To understand the present-day geographical distribution of Hydrobiidae it is necessary to study factors such as: reproductive strategies, body size, ecological structure and the ecological constraints imposed upon them by their habitats. Species of Ibero-balearic hydrobiids that are highly sensitive to their environment are restricted in their ability to extend into new regions that are frequently colonised by taxa (e.g., *Pseudamicola* and *Mercuria*) that are more tolerant to limiting factors (e.g., pollution).

The majority of hydrobiids live in ecosystems that are rich in diversity but fragile in terms of conservation. Many hydrobiids have restricted ranges or are confined to small areas, and sometimes to a single stream. This is especially true of many endemic species. Hydrobid habitats are frequently integrated into human-influenced environments and submitted to constant disturbances that challenge organismal survival. Waste accumulation, pollution spills, and habitat alteration are the most common causes of extinction for peninsular hydrobid populations. Despite their close connection with man, these organisms are still largely known due to their minute size (between 1-8 mm) and highly simplified anatomical structure. The systematic study of these molluscs carried out over the last years makes it clear that: 1) their faunistic richness is enormous and, 2) there are yet many taxa to be described in these poorly-studied taxonomic groups. Several hydrobid populations and species have already disappeared and it has been recommended that several of the more threatened species be included in the *Catálogo Nacional de Especies Amenazadas* (Alonso et al., 2001). But further studies of the biological diversity and geographic distribution of Iberian hydrobiids are urgently called for in order that more mechanisms can be put into place that will guarantee their conservation and long-term survival.
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